

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Putent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/005,185	12/04/2001	Wojciech B. Kosmowski	1270.3	9131	
75	90 05/10/2002				
Larry K. Roberts			EXAM	EXAMINER	
P.O. Box 8569 Newport Beach, CA 92658-8569			BISHOP, S	BISHOP, STEVEN C	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3722		
			DATE MAILED: 05/10/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. [
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	, (/1				
_	10/005,185	KOSMOWS	(I, WOJCIECH B.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Steven C. Bishop	3722					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
Period for Reply	N V 10 OFT TO EVD	IDE AMONTHUS EDOM					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a recommendation of the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion of the period for reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by station of the period for reply will, by station of the period for reply will by station of the period for reply will by station of the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by station of the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will, by station of the period for reply will be set or extended period for reply will	N. 1.136(a). In no event, howevery reply within the statutory minit od will apply and will expire S tute, cause the application to	rer, may a reply be timely filed num of thirty (30) days will be considere IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 13	this communication.				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _	•						
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-fir	al.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are pending in the applicat	ion.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withd		tion.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-20</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Application Papers							
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>04 December 2001</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.							
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fore	eign priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the p application from the International* See the attached detailed Office action for a l	Bureau (PCT Rule 1	7.2(a)).	ional Stage				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	• • •						
Attachment(s)							
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s 	5) 🔲	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Par Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office		V-1					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,185

Art Unit: 3722

Page 2

Specification

1. The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

The cross-reference to related applications information should be inserted before "Technical Field of the Invention" on page 1.

Appropriate correction is required.

Double Patenting

2. A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See *Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co.*, 151 U.S. 186 (1894); *In re Ockert*, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).

A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer <u>cannot</u> overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

- 3. Claims 1-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-10 of prior U.S. Patent No. 5,932,973. This is a double patenting rejection.
- 4. Claims 11-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claims 1-10 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,174,271. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Application/Control Number: 10/005,185

Art Unit: 3722

6. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAX

Page 3

of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9302. This practice may be

used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee

by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and

Group at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be

promptly forwarded to the examiner.

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Steven C. Bishop whose telephone number is (703) 308-1731.

The examiner can normally be reached Monday through Thursday from 7:30 AM -5:00 PM, and

on alternate Fridays from 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Andrea Wellington can be reached on (703) 308-2159. The fax phone numbers for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular

communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Steven C. Bishop Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3722

scb

May 7, 2002